



This form is so the town council can consider requests for changes and improvements to the roads, pavements and paths in the Bradford on Avon parish.

Applications are checked for their eligibility first – and then assessed against priorities for the town.

Request details

Ref.	2024_1 (Bridge/Lamb Yard)
A summary of the request – including why it’s been made	
Improvements at the pedestrian crossing along Bridge Yard before covered archway at Lamb Yard.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obvious crossing point • Speed bump or mitigation to slow traffic • No entry/ Wrong way on road surface either side of the covered archway 	

Step 1: Eligibility

Question	Y	N
Based on Wiltshire Council’s guidance, is the request for something that the LHFIG can’t or won’t fund?		?
Is the request for something that someone else should be doing? Perhaps with a statutory obligation to do it.		✓
Is the request already being funded by someone else – or by other funding from the town council?		✓
Would this request be better dealt with in a different way – by another organisation, or with another approach?		✓

If the answer to any of these questions is **yes**:

- Move on to step 3 (a) and note down the outcomes and recommendations.
- There is no need to complete the assessment of the request at step 2.



If the answer to all these questions is **no**, then the request should be assessed using the following criteria.

This assessment will then form part of the report that is discussed by the town council's Sustainable Travel committee.

Step 2: Assessment

Expected town and community benefits	VL	L	M	H	VH
For business and commerce – including tourism			✓		
For climate change – including improving air quality	✓				
For more inclusive access in the town			✓		
For access to green spaces and the natural environment				✓	
For improving the look and feel of the built environment	✓				
For motorised travel and transport			✓		
For walking in the town				✓	
For cycling in the town		✓			
Overall expected benefit for the town			✓		

Other considerations	VL	L	M	H	VH
Expected cost(s)		✓			
Feasibility			✓		
Priority			✓		

Key to ratings:

VL	Very low	L	Low	M	Medium	H	High	VH	Very high
----	----------	---	-----	---	--------	---	------	----	-----------



Step 3 (a): Findings

For requests that don't meet the eligibility criteria

1. N/A

Step 3 (b): Recommendations

For eligible requests

1.
 - **Request to LHFIG for improved road markings at the existing crossing point at the location of the dropped kerbs.**
 - Speed bumps – Not supported – no appropriate location and traffic generally travelling at appropriate speeds.
 - No Entry/Wrong way signage – Not Supported - adequate signage already installed.